UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/574,448	04/04/2006	Guofu Zhou	NL 031175	9649	
24737 7590 11/24/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			LAM, VINH TANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2629			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/24/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Comments		Applica	ation No.	on No. Applicant(s)				
		10/574	,448	ZHOU ET AL.	ZHOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		VINH L	AM	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE M	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. tory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply by sull expire SIX (6) MONTHS full application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 October 2</u>	<u>010</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)∐ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance exce	pt for formal matters,	prosecution as to th	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-17 and 19-</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>24</u> is/are withdra		า.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2006</u> is		oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th				FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			4) Intonious Summ	nany (PTO 442)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	D-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loxley et al. (US Patent No. 6262833) in view of Sato (US Patent No. US 4041481) and further in view of Sterling et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0231987).

Regarding Claim 1, (Previously presented) Loxley et al. teach a display device having at least one picture element having an optical switch comprising at least one first fluid (Col. 2, Ln. 54) and a second fluid (Col. 2, Ln. 54-55) immiscible with each other above a first support plate (Col. 2, Ln. 38-40, Ln. 50-54), display device has driving means for applying to electrodes of the optical switch voltages associated with a range of electro-optical states of the picture element (Col. 1, Ln. 58-62) between and including a first extreme state and a second extreme state (Col. 1, Ln. 66-67, Col. 2, Ln. 1-4, FIG. 1).

However, **Loxley et al.** do not teach the driving means providing variable voltages prior to applying a fixed voltage, wherein the variable voltages comprise alternating voltages.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,448

Art Unit: 2629

In the same field of endeavor, **Sato** teaches the driving means providing during selection (*FIGs.* **7G-7I**, *i.e.* T_E - T_{Xn} periods because it is obvious that the cells must be selected for erasing and writing images) of a picture element (*FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **C11**) variable voltages (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **19-21**, *FIG.* **7G** or *FIG.* **6B**, *i.e.* **erase pulses during** T_E) to the picture element prior to applying a fixed voltage (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **40-58**, *FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **0V during** T_P) to the display device, the fixed voltage being associated with an electro-optical state (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **40-58**, *FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **0V during** T_P would obviously produce an electro-optical state) of the picture element that corresponds to a desired image grayscale to be set (*FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **0V during** T_P would obviously produce a desired image grayscale of **C11**),

Page 3

wherein the variable voltages are selected (*Col.* 7, *Ln.* 19-21, *FIG.* 7G or *FIG.* 6B, i.e. erase pulses during T_E to selected pixels) mean voltage (*Col.* 7, *Ln.* 40-58, *FIG.* 7G, i.e. 0V) substantially equal to the fixed voltage (*Col.* 7, *Ln.* 40-58, *FIG.* 7G, i.e. 0V) that is associated with the electro-optical state (*Col.* 7, *Ln.* 40-58, *FIG.* 7G, i.e. 0V during T_P would obviously produce an electro-optical state) of the picture element (*FIG.* 7G, i.e. C11) that corresponds to a desired image grayscale_(*FIG.* 7G, i.e. 0V during T_P would obviously produce a desired image grayscale of C11).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Loxley et al.** teaching of a display device having picture element having, driving means, and range of electro-optical states with **Sato** teaching of driving means providing variable voltages prior to applying a fixed voltage to

the display device to enhance the image quality by eliminating the cross effect of the display.

Loxley et al. and Sato teach the above display device and driving means.

However, **Loxley et al.** and **Sato** do not teach that the second fluid being electroconductive or polar.

In the same field of endeavor, **Sterling et al.** teach the second fluid being electro-conductive or polar ([0075], FIG. 16B, i.e. 118a).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Loxley et al.** and **Sato** teaching of a display device structures and the driving means having variable voltages with **Sterling et al.** teaching of the second fluid being electro-conductive or polar *to substantially reduce the cost*, parts (i.e. polar particles), and simplifying the design and/or manufacturing process.

Regarding Claim 2, (Previously presented) the display device according to claim 1, wherein **Loxley et al.** teach the first support plate is a first transparent support plate, the display comprising the first and second the fluids within a space between the first transparent support plate and a second support plate (Col. 5, Ln. 58-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, (Previously presented) the display device according to claim 1, wherein **Sato** teaches the variable voltages comprise a set of alternating voltages (Col. 7, Ln. 19-21, FIGs. 7G-7I, i.e. erase pulses during T_E).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,448 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding Claim 18, (Previously presented) the display device according to claim 1, wherein Loxley et al. teach the variable voltage includes one of the first and second extreme states (Col. 5, Ln. 44-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIGs. 1 & 2).

Response to Arguments/Amendments/Remarks

- 2. Claims **4-6**, **8-14**, and **21-24** are withdrawn.
- 3. Claims **7**, **15-17**, and **19-20** are canceled.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "...the variable voltages applied during the erase period

TE and the pause pulse applied during time Tp by Sato and are not variable voltages that correspond to the fixed data voltage...". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because:

- (i). In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., *erase period TE* and the *pause pulse*) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- (ii). The limitation of the claimed language simply stated that "...during selection of a picture element variable voltages to the picture element prior to applying a fixed

Art Unit: 2629

voltage to the display device, the fixed voltage being associated with an electrooptical state..." where the Examiner broadly interprets that

variable voltages (Col. 7, Ln. 19-21, FIG. 7G or FIG. 6B, i.e. erase pulses during T_E) are applied prior to a fixed voltage (Col. 7, Ln. 40-58, FIG. 7G, i.e. 0V during T_E) wherein the fixed voltage being associated with an electro-optical state (Col. 7, Ln. 40-58, FIG. 7G, i.e. 0V during T_E) would obviously produce an electro-optical state, e.g. data, erasing, or reset pulses) regardless whether the variable and fixed voltages are data or erasing pulses. In other world, the claimed language is neither explicitly defined that the variable and fixed voltages are data pulses nor an electro-optical state is produced by the data pulses.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH T. LAM whose telephone number is (571)270-3704. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vinh T Lam/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Application/Control Number: 10/574,448 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629